

A warp-speed overview of DAG

(1)

DAG: take suitable "homotopical" replacements of everything in classical AG

Comm. ring \rightsquigarrow "derived comm. ring" (cdga / simplicial comm. ring / E_∞ ring spectrum)

Ab. cat $\text{QCoh}(X) \rightsquigarrow$ derived dg- ∞ -cat $D_{qc}(X)$

Stacks $\text{Aff}_k^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Grpd} \rightsquigarrow$ derived stacks $d\text{Aff}_k^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Spaces}$

Cotangent bundle $\Omega'_{X/Y} \rightsquigarrow$ cotangent complex $\mathbb{L}_{X/Y}$

This requires "working homotopically" but has many benefits

Ex: can remove Tor-independence / ... hypotheses on base change thms

Ex: "Correct" intersections (explaining Serre intersection fla)

This is especially important in physical mathematics

$\text{Crit}(W) = \Gamma_{dW} \cap \{0\text{-section}\} \subset T^*X$ must be interpreted in derived sense in general

Ex: If X is a der. stck / k locally of finite pres (in homotopical sense, i.e. compact),

then $\mathbb{L}_{X/\text{Spec } k}$ is perfect! (Behaves like VB, e.g. is dualizable in $D_{qc}(X)$)

"Hidden smoothness": Many moduli stacks behave like smooth stacks

when considered w/ their full derived structure. Can get VFCs, ...

How to work with DAG: Fake it!

A few key principles to remember:

- Always work homotopically. "=" should usually be replaced by " \simeq ".
- This often results in "properties" becoming "structure" (e.g. commutativity $\rightsquigarrow E_\infty$)
- "Derived" info lies to the left (negative cohom. degs), "stacky" info to the right (positive)
- Prove results for derived Artin stacks by "bootstrapping" from cdgas & using descent

Shifted Symplectic Forms - What?

(2)

Recall: An (algebraic) symplectic form on a smooth algebraic variety X is a closed, nondegenerate 2-form ω on X .

(Note: We're interested in algebraic/holomorphic geometry. This notion of "symplectic" is closer to "hyperkähler" than it is to smooth manifold theorists' "symplectic".)

Spelling things out: we want $\omega \in \Gamma(X, \Lambda^2 \Omega_X)$ such that $d\omega = 0$ (closed) and $v \mapsto \omega(v, -)$ induces $\Theta_X \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_X$ (where Θ is tangent sheaf). (nondegen)

Generalizing "nondegenerate 2-forms" to derived Artin stacks is "easy" - ask for $\omega \in \Gamma(X, \Lambda^2 \mathbb{L}_X)$ such that ω induces $\mathbb{T}_X \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{L}_X$.

Note: \mathbb{L}_X is a complex, so we can instead ask for "nd. 2-forms" of any degree n .

These are $\omega \in \Gamma(X, \Lambda^2 \mathbb{L}_X[n])$ inducing $\mathbb{T}_X \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{L}_X[n]$.

Ex: Let G be a reductive group, and let $\pi: pt \rightarrow BG$ be the universal quotient map.

The conormal fiber sequence gives $\pi^* \mathbb{L}_{BG} \simeq \text{fib}(\mathbb{L}_{pt} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{pt/BG}) \simeq \text{fib}(0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^\vee) \simeq \mathfrak{g}^\vee[-1]$

Taking $n=2$, we see $\Gamma(BG, \Lambda^2 \mathbb{L}_{BG}[2]) \simeq \Gamma(BG, \pi^* \Lambda^2 \mathbb{L}_{BG}[2])^G \simeq (\text{Sym}^2 \mathfrak{g}^\vee)^G$

That is, (n.d.) 2-forms of degree 2 on BG are the same as (n.d.) invariant symmetric bilinear forms on \mathfrak{g} ! Killing form gives an example if \mathfrak{g} semisimple.

"Closedness" is harder to define. First need a notion of "de Rham complex".

Then need to replace " $d\omega = 0$ " by " $d\omega \simeq 0$ ", turning closedness from property into structure (data of path witnessing \simeq).

We'll postpone this to a later week, but we can at least state:

Def: A n -shifted symplectic form on a derived Artin stack X is a closed 2-form ω of degree n on X such that the underlying 2-form (of degree n) is nondegenerate.

Ex: Choice of n.d. invariant bilinear form on \mathfrak{g} gives 2-shifted symplectic form on BG .

Shifted Symplectic Forms - Why? (ignoring size issues for brevity!) ③

One viewpoint: many interesting geometric constructions on symplectic objects leave the 0-shifted world but remain in the broader shifted symplectic world

Ex: If X and Y are "Lagrangians" in n -symp. Z , then $X \times_Z Y$ is $(n-1)$ -symp.

In particular, Lagrangian intersections in a 0-symp. stack are (-1) -symp.

Ex: If X is " d -oriented" (e.g. d -dim'l Calabi-Yau variety, or Betti stack of d -dim'l oriented manifold), Y is n -symp, and the mapping stack $\text{Map}(X, Y)$ is "small enough", then $\text{Map}(X, Y)$ is $(n-d)$ -symp.

In particular, $\text{Map}(X, BG)$ is $(2-d)$ -symp. For $d=2$, we get 0-symp struct!

Another viewpoint: Flexibility afforded by shifts relates to that afforded by locality in TFT.

Safronov: Geometric quantization of n -symp stack (+extra data) is n -category

Calaque-Hauhseng-Scheimbauer: AKSZ construction of "semi-classical"

TFT $\text{Map}(-, X): \text{Bord}_{0,d}^{\text{or}} \rightarrow \text{Lag}_d^{\wedge}$ for X n -symp.

(\Rightarrow semiclassical versions of Chern-Simons, Rozansky-Witten theories)

One last viewpoint: (-1) -symp. stacks control interesting invariants

PTVV: (-1) -symp structs \Rightarrow sym. obstruction theories

These lead to virtual fundamental classes, which in turn give Gromov-Witten invariants

Several authors: Construction of Donaldson-Thomas sheaves

These categorify and explain phenomena surrounding cohomological DT invariants

Goal of this seminar: understand these & related "cohomological Hall algs"